

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/730,254	12/09/2003	Maurits Van Der Schaar	081468-0307120	3339	
	7590 02/05/2008 WINTHROP SHAW PITT	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1050	00	HARTMAN JE	HARTMAN JR, RONALD D		
MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2121		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/05/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

. =				M^{N}
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
<u>`</u> ∮	Office Action Commence	10/730,254	SCHAAR ET AL.	
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	The MAN INC. DATE of the control of	Ronald D. Hartman Jr.	2121	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	;
WHI - Exte afte - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Does in the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed m the mailing date of this communi JED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
	, ===	action is non-final.	rosecution as to the mer	ite ie
<u>ا</u> رت	closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		113 13
Dieses	tion of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
5) 🗌 6) 🔲 7) 🔲	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-22 are subject to restriction and/or or	wn from consideration.		
Applicat	tion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Stion is required if the drawing(s) is constant.	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	• •
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Stage	e
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal	Date	
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	, atoni Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/730,254

Art Unit: 2121

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-10 and 18-22, drawn to a method of modeling, classified in class
 703, subclass 2; and
- Claims 11-17, drawn to a lithographic apparatus, classified in class 378, subclass 34.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in
this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that:

- (1) the combination (invention I) as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination (invention II) as claimed for patentability; AND
- (2) the subcombination (invention II) has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)).

In the instant case, the combination (invention I), as claimed, does not require the particulars of the subcombination (invention II), as claimed, because the methods (invention I, combination) may be used in altogether different types of systems and are not required to be utilized by lithographic systems or limited to such systems.

Also, in the instant case, the apparatus subcombination (invention II) has separate utility such as in a system that does not require the utilization of a lithographic system, as is the case with invention I.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such

Application/Control Number: 10/730,254

Art Unit: 2121

claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 2121

Oath/Declaration

It is noted that the Oath has not been signed by all the inventors. Specifically Bart Luc has not signed the Oath.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald D. Hartman Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-3684. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 11:00 - 8:30 pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Vincent can be reached on (571) 272-3080. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

February 3, 2008

RDH

X ROH

RONALD HARTMAN, JR.
PRIMARY EXAMINER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

13/200